IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 24TH AUGUST 2007 / 2ND BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25927 of 2007()

PETITIONERS:

----

1. ANILKUMAR G.,
RAJA NILAYAM, T.C.10/761 (4), MANNAMMOOLA,
PEROORKADA (PO), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. AJAYAKUMAR V.S.,
THARAVADU, ALIYILTHARATTI, CHEMPAZHANTHI (P.O),
NJANDOORKONAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. REJITHKUMAR K.,
CHERUVALLY VEEDU, T.C.48/338, AMBALATHARA,
POONTHURA (P.O), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. VIJAYADAS K.,
KOMALAVILASOM, DHALAVAPURAM,NEENDAKARA (PO),KOLLAM

5. JOSE P.,
JOSE BHAVAN, ZIONKUNNU, VEMBAYAM (P.O),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

6. RAMESH K.V.,
VANAJA BHAVAN, CHAVADI NADA, VENGANOOR (P.O),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

7. RAJENDRAN NAIR A.,
T.C.6/1127, MUTTADA VEEDU, KANJIRAMPARA (PO),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

8. RAJEEV P.V.,
CHERUTHOTTATHIL VEEDU, T.C.16/887, MYLAKKAVILASOM,
JAGATHY, THYCAUD (P.O), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

9. WILLIAM JOHN,
SALVATION ARMY (THD), KAWDIAR (P.O),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

10. SURESH KUMAR K.,
RAMA NILAYAM, ARALAMOODU (P.O),THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

11. SAJU R.S.,
SABU BHAVAN, NELLIVILA, MULLOOR (P.O),
VIZHINJAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

12. SHAJI M. NAIR,
SYAMALALAYAM, NAGANCHERRY, ANADE (P.O),
NEDUMANGAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

13. GANESH KUMAR B.,
SARADA BHAVAN, T.C.7/1554, THIRUMALA (P.O),
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.GOPAKUMAR R.THALIYAL

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA,
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN, RAJEEV GANDHI BHAVAN,
SAFDARJUNG ROAD, NEW DELHI.

2. THE REGIONAL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA, SOUTHERN REGION,
CHENNAI-600 027.

3. THE AIRPORT DIRECTOR,
AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA, TRIVANDRUM
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TRIVANDRUM.

4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA, TRIVANDRUM
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TRIVANDRUM.

BY SRI.K.NARASIMHAN
SRI.V.SANTHARAM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 25927 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 24th day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners claim that they were contract labourers engaged by the 3rd respondent and that their claim for regularisation is pending in adjudication. According to them, at that stage, Ext.P4 notification has been issued by the first respondent for filling up the posts on a regular basis.

2. Standing counsel Sri.K.L.Narasimhan appeared for the respondents.

3. I notice that the petitioners were only contract labourers and their rights are yet to be crystalised and at this stage petitioners cannot legitimately prevent the respondents from making appointments in their organisation. If ultimately the petitioners rights are recognised in any legal proceedings, it will be responsibility of the respondents to accommodate the petitioners. Until

then the respondents are free to make appointments and the petitioners cannot prevent the same. I do not find any merit in this writ petition and it is dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp